













Landmark U.S. Supreme Court Decisions

Click on the button to get the indicated file format:						
HTML	Text	WP	PDF	RTF	Spread	Image
						

Rating	Wrong	Correct	Mixed
Decision			
Opinion			

1.  **Georgia v. Brailsford**, 3 U.S. 1 (Dall.) (1794) — Jury has power to judge law in bringing general verdict.
 -   Opinion —  **John Jay**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
2.  **Calder v. Bull**, 3 U.S. 386 (Dall.) (1798) — Supreme Court has jurisdiction to overrule unconstitutional state legislation, such as *ex post facto* laws.
 -   Opinion —  **Chase, Paterson**, Iredell, Cushing
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
3.  **Marbury v. Madison**, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137; 2 L. Ed. 60 (1803) — Courts must not sustain unconstitutional acts of government.
 -   Opinion —  **John Marshall**, Chase, Paterson, Washington
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
4.  **U.S. v. Burr**, 8 U.S. (4 Cranch) 469 (1807) — Established standards of evidence for treason.
 -   Opinion —  **John Marshall**.
5.  **United States v. Hudson**, 7 Cranch 32 (1812) — Courts have no jurisdiction over common law crimes.
 -   Opinion —  **Johnson**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
6.  **McCulloch v. Maryland**, 17 U.S. 316 (1819) — National Bank was tax-exempt federal agency.
 -   Syllabus
 -   Opinion —  **John Marshall**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
7.  **Gibbons v. Ogden**, 22 U.S. 1 (1824) — State may not grant exclusive navigation license for its coastal waters.
 -   Opinion —  **John Marshall**, Concurring Johnson, J.
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
8.  **Barron v. Mayor & City Council of Baltimore**, 32 U.S. 243 (1833) — Federal courts do not have jurisdiction in cases in which a citizen sues his state for violation of any of the Bill of Rights.
 -   Syllabus
 -   Opinion —  **John Marshall**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
9.  **Games v. Stiles ex dem Dunn**, 39 U.S. 322 (1840) — If the bench and jury disagree on a point of law, the opinion of the bench prevails.
 -   Opinion —  **John M'Lean**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
10.  **Ex Parte Milligan**, 71 U.S. 2 (4 Wall.) (1866) — Civilians may not be tried by military tribunals.
 -   Opinion —  **Davis**
 -   Partial Dissent —  **Salmon P. Chase**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
11.  **Ex Parte McCardle**, 74 U.S. 506 (Wall.) (1868) — Congress may remove jurisdiction from the Supreme Court.
 -   Opinion —  **Salmon P. Chase**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
12.  **U. S. v. Hall**, 98 U.S. 343 (1878) — Congress may prohibit embezzlement of pension payments, enforceable by deprivation of liberty.
 -   Opinion —  **Clifford**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
13.  **Hurtado v. California**, 110 U.S. 516 (1884) — States not required to indict by grand jury.
 -   Opinion —  **Matthews**

-   Dissenting —  **Harlan**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
14. ● **Logan v. U.S.**, 144 U.S. 263 (1892) — Congress may prohibit injury or death of persons in custody of U.S. officials, caused by any person, enforceable by deprivation of life or liberty.
-   Opinion —  **Gray**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
15. ● **Sparf & Hansen v. United States**, 156 U.S. 51, 64 (1895) — Jurors do not need to be informed of their power to judge the law in bringing a general verdict.
-   Syllabus
 -   Opinion —  **John M. Harlan**, Jackson
 -   Dissenting —  **David J. Brewer**, Brown
 -   Dissenting —  **Horace Gray**, Shiras
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
16. ● **Coffin v. U.S.**, 156 U.S. 432 (1895) — Court must instruct jury in criminal case that accused is presumed innocent.
-   Opinion —  **White**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
17. ● **Lochner v. New York**, 198 U.S. 45 (1905) — State statute restricting hours of work is a violation of due-process protection of 14th Amendment.
-   Opinion —  **Peckham**
 -   Dissenting —  **Harlan, Holmes**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
18. ● **Twining v. New Jersey**, 211 U.S. 78 (1908) — State not required to protect right against self-incrimination.
-   Opinion —  **Moody**
 -   Dissenting —  **Harlan**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
19. ● **Connally v. General Const. Co.**, 269 U.S. 385 (1926) — State statute void for vagueness.
-   Opinion —  **Sutherland**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
20. ● **Palko v. Connecticut**, 302 U.S. 319 (1937) — State not required to protect right against double jeopardy.
-   Opinion —  **Cardozo**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
21. ● **Ex Parte Quirin**, 317 U.S. 1 (1942) — Foreign infiltrators onto U.S. territory may be tried for espionage and sabotage by a military tribunal.
-   Opinion —  **Stone**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
22. ● **Murdock v. Pennsylvania**, 319 U.S. 105 (1943) — A state may not impose a tax or charge on the dissemination of religious literature.
-   Opinion —  **Douglas**
 -   Opinion —  **Reed, Frankfurter, Jackson**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
23. ● **U.S. v. Lovett**, 328 U.S. 303 (1946) — Legislative denial of compensation based on political views is a prohibited bill of attainder.
-   Opinion —  **Black, Frankfurter**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
24. ● **Adamson v. California**, 332 U.S. 46 (1947) — Decision of an accused not to testify may be used against him in a state criminal trial.
-   Opinion —  **Reed**
 -   Concurring —  **Frankfurter**
 -   Dissenting —  **Black**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
25. ● **Reid v. Covert**, 354 U.S. 1 (1957) — Treaties do not confer powers not authorized by Constitution, and in particular, over civilians outside U.S. territory.
-   Opinion —  **Black**

-   Concurring —  **Frankfurter, Harlan**
 -   Dissenting —  **Clark**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
26.  **Gideon v. Wainwright**, 372 U.S. 335 (1963) — State required to provide defense counsel to accused unable to hire his own.
-   Opinion —  **Black, Douglas, Clark, Harlan**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
27.  **Camara v. Municipal Court**, 387 U.S. 523 (1967) — Building inspector must obtain warrant to inspect building if owner does not consent to it.
-   Opinions —  **White**, and others
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
28.  **Buckley v. Valeo**, 424 U.S. 1 (1976) — Campaign spending may not be limited, but contributions may be, and the identity of contributors may be required to be disclosed, and anonymous contributors prohibited.
-   Opinion —  **Brennan, Stewart, Powell**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
29.  **Lewis v. United States**, 445 U.S. 55 (1980) — Person who has been convicted of a crime in a state court may be convicted of a federal crime for possession of firearms.
-   Syllabus
 -   Opinion —  **Blackmun, J.**, Berger, Stewart, White, Rehnquist, Stevens
 -   Dissenting —  **Brennan, J.**, Marshall, Powell
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
30.  **Brown v. Socialist Workers'**, 459 U.S. 87 (1982) — Minor party which has historically been harassed is exempt from campaign disclosure requirements.
-   Opinion —  **Marshall**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
31.  **Hafer v. Melo**, 502 U.S. 21 (1991) — State officers may be held personally liable for damages based upon actions taken in their official capacities.
-   Opinion —  **O'Connor**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
32.  **Soldal v. Cook County**, 506 U.S. 56 (1992) — State or local officials who stand by or protect an unlawful eviction or seizure are liable for damages under 42 USC 1983.
-   Opinions —  **White**, and others
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
33.  **Staples v. United States**, 511 U.S. 600 (1994) — Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant knew that his rifle had the characteristics that brought it within the statutory definition of a machinegun.
-   Opinion —  **Thomas**
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
34.  **McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Comm'n**, 514 U.S. 334 (1995) — Advocacy publication may be anonymous, and is exempt from campaign disclosure statute.
-   Opinion —  **Stevens**, Concurring Ginsburg
 -   Concurring —  **Thomas**
 -   Dissenting —  **Scalia**, Rehnquist
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
35.  **United States v. Lopez**, 514 U.S. 549 (1995) — Prohibition against possessing firearm in proximity of a school is not authorized as connected to interstate commerce.
-   Syllabus
 -   Opinion —  **Rehnquist**, Kennedy, O'Connor, Scalia, Thomas
 -   Concurring —  **Kennedy**, O'Connor
 -   Concurring —  **Thomas**
36.  **Bennis v. Michigan**, 517 U.S. 1163 (1996) — Property used in a crime may be forfeited even though partly or wholly owned by an innocent third party.
-   Opinions —  **Rehnquist**, and others
 -   Commentary — **Jon Roland**
 -   Commentary — **Graeme S. R. Brown**

37. ● **Caron v. United States**, 524 U.S. 308 (1998) — Even if a State permitted an offender to have the guns he possessed, federal law may use the State’s determination that the offender is more dangerous than law-abiding citizens to impose its own felony conviction.
- Syllabus
 - Opinion — ● **Kennedy**, Rehnquist, Stevens, O’Connor, Ginsburg, Breyer
 - Dissenting — ● **Thomas**, Scalia, Suter
 - Commentary — **Jon Roland**
38. ● **Saenz v. Roe**, Docket 98-97 (Decided May 17, 1999) — State may not deny benefits to new arrivals.
- Syllabus
 - Opinion — ● **Stevens**, O’Connor, Scalia, Kennedy, Souter, Ginsburg, Breyer
 - Dissenting — ● **Rehnquist**, Thomas
 - Dissenting — ● **Thomas**, Rehnquist
 - Commentary — **Jon Roland**
39. ● **United States v. Morrison**, Docket 99-5 (Decided May 15, 2000) — Congress lacked authority to enact a law which provides a federal civil remedy for the victims of gender-motivated violence.
- Syllabus
 - Opinion — ● **Rehnquist**, O’Connor, Scalia, Kennedy, and Thomas
 - Concurring — ● **Thomas**
 - Dissenting — ● **Souter**, Stevens, Ginsburg, and Breyer
 - Dissenting — ● **Breyer**, Stevens; Souter and Ginsburg joined on I-A
40. ● **Jones v. United States**, Docket 99-5739 (Decided May 22, 2000) — An owner-occupied residence not used for any commercial purpose does not qualify as property “used in” commerce or commerce-affecting activity, so arson of such a dwelling is not subject to federal prosecution.
- Syllabus
 - Opinion — ● **Ginsburg**, for unanimous court
 - Concurring — ● **Stevens**, Thomas
 - Concurring — ● **Thomas**, Scalia
 - Commentary — **Jon Roland**
41. ● **Palazzolo v. Rhode Island**, Docket 99-2047 (Decided Jun. 28, 2001) — A state land-use regulation may present standing to a subsequent owner for compensation under the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment.
- Opinions — ● **Kennedy**, and others
 - Commentary — **Jon Roland**

■ ■ ■ Spreadsheet of U.S. Supreme Court Milestones — Trace the evolution of departures from compliance with original understanding.

Search this site or the web Powered by FreeFind

Find! Site Map

Landmark SC Decisions Entire site Entire web

[Home](#) » [Founding Documents](#) » [Court Decisions](#)

Original URL: <http://www.constitution.org/ussc/usscdeci.htm>

Maintained: [Jon Roland](#) of the [Constitution Society](#)

Original date: 1996 May 12 — Updated: 2005 February 19