GENUINE EDITION.

THE

TRIAL

0.5

THOMAS PAINE,

FOR A

L I B E L,

CONTAINED IN THE SECOND PART OF

RIGHTS OF MAN,

BEFORE

LORD KENYON,

AND A SPECIAL JURY,

AT GUILDFALL, DECEMBER 18.

WITH THE SPEECHES OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

AND

MR. ERSKINE,

AT LARGE,

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR C. AND G. KEARSLEY, FLEET STREET.

TILE

TRIAL

O F

THOMAS PAINE.

GUILDHALL, Tuefday, Dec. 18.

The KING against THOMAS PAINE.

Before LORD KINYON, by the following Special Jury .

John Campbell, Merchant, Foreman,

John Lightfoot, Christopher Taddy, Robert Oliphant, Cornelius Donovan, Robert Rolleston, John Lubbock, Richard Tugwell, John Porter, Thomas Druce, Ifaac Railton, Henry Evans, Merchants.

B Mr.

MR PERCIVAL opened the pleadings on the part of the Crown. He said, this was an Information against Thomas Paine, for that he being a person of a wicked, malicious, and feditious disposition; and withing to introduce diforder and confution, and to cause it to be beheved, that the Crown of this kingdom was contrary to the Rights of the Inhabitants of this kingdom; and to cause at to be believed also, that the Bill of Rights was a Bill of Wrongs and Infults; all tending to bring the Government of this Country into contempt, and endeavouring to cause it to be believed, that the Parliament of this country was openly corrupt in the face of day; and in order to withdraw the affections of the people of this kingdom, against the Law and Constitution of this country, that he THOMAS PAINE, withing and intending this mischief, did, on the 16th of February, 1791, wickedly, fallely, maliciously, scandaloufly, and feditiously publish a certain book, called the Second Part of Rights of Man, figned THOMAS PAINE, containing many false, wicked, scandalous, malicious, and feditious affertions; with which he would not trouble the Jury, as they would have them from the Attorney General. The Defendant had pleaded Not Guilty, upon which iffue was joined.

The Attorney General faid, the Jury would permit him to state, in this stage of the proceeding, that in his mind a cause, which brought its own merit with it, a cause more plain, more clear, more certain, and indisputable, never came before a Court. Was it not that certain circumstances had happened, and contributed to make the book in question an object of confiderable magnitude, and rendered it important, he should at once propose reading the pages of the book, as they were included in the information to the Jury, and then have left the cafe to be disposed by their good sense; but the particular mischief that naturally flowed from this wicked publication, had rendered it necessary for him to open the case in a fuller way than usual upon such occasions. To speak of himself was what he had no delight in, and what could not be entertaining to the Jury; but as circumstances made it necessary, he hoped the Jury would forgive it. A rumour hall been spreadthat this profecution did not correspond with his private judgment. To this he answered, that if he did not think this a fit object for profecution, he should think such an opinion disqualified him from holding the office he now filled, he would be degraded in the estimation of every professional man, and he ought to be despited if he felt any unwillingness to bring this enormous case

before a Jury of this Country.

This was not the first of the kind which this Defendant had thought fit to publish against Government. He was not, pethaps, warranted in not bringing the first before the Gentlemen of the Jury. II. pasted at by, however, as it was affected into the world in that thape, that it was likely to fall only into the haids of tolerably informed perfons, who would have the fense to fee the porton, and confequently would be able to apply the antidote, and refute it as they went along: but when another appeared in a finaller fize, printed on white brown paper, and thruft in the hands of all perfons, of all ages, fexes, and conditions: they were even wrapped up with fweet ments for children. Such were the fleps that had been taken to forward this publication, and he did what his duty demanded or him, he filed an information against the Descalant the inst day of the fuceceding term, putting the quellion upon record, which they, the Jury, had to try.

What it was that was the intention of this min by thefe publications, would not be matter of difficulty for the fury to different, it was manifelled by every tell that could apply to the explanation of a man's intention, and the fury would have to fay, whether they were not tatisfied that the whole of the book in quetion did not deferve the blame which had been imputed to it by the information. It was written with a view to vility, degrade, and to bring into abhorrence and contampt all the establishment of this country in all the departments of the flate. The whole of the book, the fury would fee, was artfully written for the purpole for which it was intended, namely, to make the lower orders of fociety difaffected to Government, and it was the most grofs artifice that ever imposed upon the credulous part of mankind. It was all written in the dogmatical man-

It confifted of fo many ready-made propositions. without regard to truth, and without the least application to circumstances, and also without the least reafoning or deduction whatever; addressed to men who were very properly anxious about their rights, but who, from too little knowledge of our Constitution, and from being too little habituated to reflection, were eafily imposed upon by shallow artifice; and when these honest, but deluded men, came to be persuaded that they were deprived, by the despotic temper of Government, of Rights, to which they were clearly intitled, it was a matter of no wonder they should manifest an abhorrence of the whole fabric. He defied any man to shew a system capable of creating more mischief than this book. It flated that the regular system of our legislation was inherently corrupt, and that the whole formed a mass of abominable tyranny. Secondly, that the Legislature altogether was, without a fingle exception, grounded upon usurpation; that this usurped authority framed what was called law, but that in reality there was scarcely such a thing as law in the country. Thus ten or twelve millions of people were told they were governed by usurpation, and that of course, as there was no such thing as law, each individual would be left to govern all his actions by his own partial notions of moral duty. Were we, indeed, to follow these doctrines ?--Were we to fall into a lawless banditti? Were we to be reduced back again into a savage state of nature, where man was the enemy of man, where all his faculties were useless, except Arength and cunning -- Were we to return to this state?-The Jury knew what the answer was to these questions. What was to be said to a man who would thus, with a general fweep, take away all law, or the force of all law, by afferting that all laws which had been hitherto made, are null and void; this fort of artifice was very gross, it was true, but it did not appear to to those who could not detect the artifice. Objections too were started in this book to Monarchy, in general terms, without one word being faid of its advantages: The power of the Aristocracy was objected to, but not a word was faid about the Democratic part of

It was well known that England had our Constitution. a powerful Democracy; but not a word of that, because it would not make the lower classes of the people difcontented; this was the common artifice; an artifice for very fhallow, that fome people might wonder perhaps at the fuccess of it; but to whom were these things addreffed? to the ignorant, the credulous, and the defperate—the latter were naturally the enemies of all government, order, or regularity; every rellaint was irkfome to them, and nothing was fo plaulible or convincing to them, even upon the point of propriety, as to inform them we shall have no government at all; the others were eafily to be imposed upon, and made the dupes of the crafty and defigning, who might chuse to deceive them.

The Jury, in order to judge accurately of the merits of this political performance, would take into their confideration, the phrases and manner in which the author was pleafed to express his thoughts in this publication: the manner was freezing and contemptuous, and, as to the matter, he called it an utterdefiance to all law, morality, and religion. The indecency with which Monarchy, was treated was quite thocking, and the conclutions in that work, upon that topic, diffeovered that the author was deflitute of knowledge, as to the various provisions, and wife ones too, which the law made in that particular. Here the learned Gentleman entered into an hillorical detail of the various permanent Council for Minor Kings, Regents, hereditary and official advitors, &c. of the Throne, in various cases of necessity, so that the provisions by lawwere fuch, that no material inconvenience could be fullained by the public on account of the monarchical tythem-a fythem which Mr. Pane had been pleafed to fay was fuch, that a cafe might occur where there would be a complete chafm in that branch of our form of government. The truth was, that our Constitution was the both upon earth, and that for the plainest and clearest reason—it had had longer time for melioration than that of any other in the world. Seven hundred years ago freedom was its basis. From the days of Julius Caelar it had from age to age been improved, until it was completed at the Revolution.

He apprehended it to be no difficult operation of the human mind, to distinguish reasoning from a deliberate design to withdraw men's minds from the Constitution; it was done by the operation of good sense, and not difficult for a Jury of the city of London. They would observe, whether the whole of the book was not calculated to convince the sober part of mankind, or to produce disastection, to inflame passions instead of reasoning with the mind. He knew of no circumstance that could leave a doubt in the mind of the Jury as to what was passing in the heart of the Desendant, at the time he penned this publication.

He had thought it more becoming, as well as beneficial to the public, to felect out of this wicked work fix or seven passages, than to encumber the record with too many recitals. He would now take them in their order:

The first passage is to be found in page 21, large edition, and is in these words:

"All hereditary Government is in its nature tyranny. An heritable crown, or an heritable throne,

" or by what other fanciful name fuch things may be called, have no other fignificant explanation than

" that mankind are heritable property. To inherit 2

"Government, is to inherit the people, as if they were

" flocks and herds."

Now what was the tendency of this? to destroy all subordination and submission to the law. It was neither more nor less than this; to hold out to the people of this country, that they were slaves; this was one of these short ready-made propositions, which, if a man believes, he must say, I can bear this no longer. As to the King's inheriting the people, that was a piece of sophistry; he had no inheritance in the people, his inheritance was his office, and that was an office under the law.

The next passage is in page 47.

"This Convention met at Philadelphia, in May,
1787, of which General Washington was elected
President. He was not at that time connected
"with

"with any of the State Governments, of with Con"gress. He delivered up his Commission when
"the war ended, and since then had lived as a private
"Citizen.

"The Convention went deeply into all subjects.

" and having, after a variety of debate and investigation, agreed among themselves upon the se" veral parts of a sederal Constitution, the next
" oversion was the manner of giving it authority and

" question was the manner of giving it authority and practice.

"For this purpose they did not, like a cabal of Courtiers, fund for a Dutch Stadtholder, or a German Elector, but they referred the whole matter to

" the fanse and interest of the country."

What was this? Why the whole was written for the fake of introducing a fneer against a Dutch Stadtholder and German Elector, this discovered the temper of the man, but it was another dogma or ready-made proposition, without the least deduction.

Another paffage was to be found in page 52.

"The history of the Edwards and the Hen-" ries, and up to the commencement of the Stuarts, " exhibits as many instances of tyranny as could " be acted within the limits to which the nation " had reftricted it. The Stuarts endeavoured to " pass those limits, and their fate is well known. " In all those instances we hear nothing of a constitu-" tion, but only of restrictions on assumed power. " After this, another William, descended from " the fame flock, and claiming from the fame origin, " gained possession, and of the two evils, James and "William, the nation preferred what it thought the " least, fince, from circumstances, it must take one. "The act, called the Bill of Rights, comes here " into view. What is it but a bargain, which the " parts of the government made with each other to "divide powers, profits, and privileges? You shall " have so much, and I will have the rest, and with " respect to the nation, it said, for your share you " shall have the right of petitioning. This being the " case, the bill of rights is more properly a bill of wrongs, and of insult. As to what is called the Convention Parliament, it was a thing that made itself, and then made the authority by which it acted. A few persons got togetner, and called themselves by that name. Several of them had never been elected, and none of them for the purpose.

"From the time of William, a species of Gowernment arose, issuing out of this coalition bill of
rights, and more so, since the corruption introduced at the Hanover succession, by the agency of
Walpole, that can be described by no other name
than a despotic legislation. Though the parts may
embarrass each other, the whole has no bounds,
and the only right it acknowledges out of itself, is
the right of petitioning. Where then is the Constitution, either that gives, or that restrained
power?

"It is not because a part of the government is elective, that makes it less a despotism, if the perfons so elected possess afterwards, as a Parliament,
unlimited powers. Election, in this case, becomes feparated from representation, and the candidates are candidates for despotism."

Thus this author would have us believe, that, from the days of the Edwards and the Henries, up to the Stuarts, we had nothing but tyranny, and the Bill of Rights, it feemed, was a bill of wrongs and infults. Who was so infatuated as to believe all this? Who among us was ignorant of the character of that great founder of our jurisprudence, Edward the Third. And as to the Bill of Rights, strangely must that heart be constituted, which had not a grateful reverence for the memory of those who procured it. But as eulogium would be lost on that bill, let it speak for itself.—Here he recited almost the whole of the Bill of Rights.—Such was the bill of wrongs and insults—he would not prosane it by a comment.

The next passage was in page 56.

"The attention of the government of England (for I rather chuse to call it by this name than the English government) appears, since its political connection with Germany, to have been so completely engrossed and absorbed by foreign affairs, and the means of raising taxes, that it seems to exist for no other purposes. Domestic concerns are neglected, and, with respect to regular law, there is scarcely such a thing."

Here was a reflection of the most wicked fort on the government of this country, and an invitation to the people to rebel, by telling them there was scarcely such a thing as law in England.

The next paifage was a note in page 63.

"With respect to the two houses, of which the English Pailiament is composed, they appear to be effectually influenced into one, and, as a legislature to have no temper of its own. The Minister, whoever he at any time may be, touches it as with an opium wand, and it sleeps obesidence.

"But if we look at the distinct abilities of the two houses, the difference will appear to great, as to shew the inconsistency of placing power where there can be no certainty of the judgment to use it. Wretched as the state of representation is in England, it is manhood compared with what is called the House of Lords, and so little is this nick-named house regarded, that the peofipe scarcely inquire at any time what it is doing. It appears also to be most under insuence, and the farthest removed from the general interest of the nation."

There was no occasion to defend the House, it always had and has at this moment some of the most illustrious men in Europe.

The next passage was in pages 107 and 108.

"Having thus glanced at some of the defects of the two Houses of Parliament, I proceed to what is called the Crown, upon which I shall be very concise.

"It fignifies a nominal office of a million fler"ling a year, the business of which confists in re"ceiving the money. Whether the person be wise
"or foolish, sane or insane, a native or a foreigner,
"matters not. Every ministry acts upon the same
idea that Mr. Burke writes, namely, that the people must be hood-winked, and held in superstitious
"ignorance by some bug-bear or other; and what is
"called the Crown answers this purpose, and therefore it answers all the purposes to be expected from
"it. This is more than can be said of the other
"two branches.

"The hazard to which this office is exposed if in all countries, is not from any thing that can happen to the man, but from what may happen to the nation—the danger of its coming to its senses."

So that if the people of this country come into their fenses, we shall have no Crown.—We had been out of for fenses for centuries, if this was the case, and all he could wish was that we might remain lunatics for ever.

The next passage was a note in page 116 and 117.

" I happened to be in England as the celebra-"tion of the centenary of the Revolution of 1688. "The character of William and Mary have always "appeared to me detestable; the one feeking to " destroy his uncle, and the other her father, to get " possession of power themselves, but the nation " was disposed to think something of that event. "I felt hurt at feeing it aferibe the whole repu-" tation of it to a man who had undertaken it as a " job. and who, besides what he otherwise got, " charged fiv handred thousand pounds for the " expence of the little fleet that brought him from "Holland. George the First acted the same " close-fifted part as William had done, and bought the "Ducky of Bremen with the money he got from " England, two hundred and fifty thousand pounds " over and above his pay as King, and having thus " purchased it at the expence of England, added it " to his Hanoverian dominions for his own private " profit. " profit. In fact, every nation that does not govern tielf, is governed as a job. England has been the prey of jobs ever fince the Revolution.

The Attorney General made a few observations upon these slanders on William and Mary, and the whole of the House of Hanover.

The last passage was in page 161.

"The fraud, hypocrify, and imposition of governments, are now beginning to be too well underflood to promise them any long enters. The farce
of monarchy and arisfocracy, in all countries, is
following that of chivalry, and Mr. Burke is dreffing for the funeral. Let it then pass quietly to
the tomb of all other follies, and the mourners be
comforted.

"The time is not very diffant when England will laugh at itself for sending to Holland, Hanover, "Zell, or Brunswick for men, at the experce of a "million a year, who understood neither her laws, "her language, nor her interest, and whose capacities "would scarcely have fitted them for the office of a "parish constable. If government could be trusted to such hands, it must be some easy and simple thing indeed, and materials to for all the purposes may be found in every town and village in Lingland."

Mr. Attorney General, after commenting able on the var ous passages adverted to, made several observations on the great advantages derived from an hereditary when compared to an elective Monarchy. This was manifested in the Constitution of Poland, which obliged a great, a humane, and a worthy Prince to abdicate his throne. He then called the attention of the Jury, to arguments that had been urged against Mr. Paine's Rights of Man, by an American Gentleman of the name of Adams, he read several exit sts out of this book, and said, these arguments stemed to him to be complete answers to the Rights of Man.—Having finished his observations, he thought it unnecessary to trouble the Gentlemen of the Jury surther in this stage of the business. According as they should or should not

be of opinion that this book had a dangerous tendency, would be their verdict. He had done his duty by bringing an offender of this fort before the Gentlemen of the Jury, and thereby putting the Public under the shield of their protection.

Thomas Haynes was the first witness called on the part of the prosecution; who said he had bought the Second Part of the Rights of Man on the 20th of February

last, at the shop of Mr. Jordan, No. 166, Fleet-street.

Thomas Chapman faid he was a printer, and knew the defendant Thomas Paine. He was introduced to Mr. Paine by Mr. Thomas Charistie. He was introduced as a printer to print some books. In the year 1791 he printed the First part of the Rights of Man; he also printed part of the Second Part of the Rights of Man, to wit, to page 112, to fignature H. He had the manuscript in his possession to p. 146, and had composed as far as 140. But when sheet I came into his hands, upon examining it, part of it appeared to have a dangerous tenuency. He then determined in his own mind not to proceed with the work. He wrote a short note to Mr. Came for that purpose, and it was with a degree of rejudance that he did it, owing to the civilities he h in conted from Mr. Paint. An accident, however, occurred, which made this business casy. About the 16th flanuary Mr. Paine called on him, and was rathe intoxicated with liquor. He had been dining at Mr. Johnson's, in St. Paul's Church-yard. Mr. Pause introduced a subject upon which they had often differed -that of religion, which was a favourite topic when he was intoxicated. The subject of debate running very high, Mr. Paine at last came to personal abuse. An observation was made by Mrs. Chapman, at which Mr. Pain was greatly offended, and faid he had not been fo much affronted in the whole course of his life. He role in a great paffion, declaring that as the witness was a di enter, he had a had opinion of him, as they were a fet of hypocrites. Mr. Paine told the witness he withed to fettle with him. He called on the witness the next morning, and made many apologies for what he had faid, that it was the effect of Inquor, and wished him to go on with the work. But the witness would not, as he thought a part of it had an evil tendency. He made three separate proposals to Mr. Paine during the publication of this work; first, he offered him 100 guineas for the copy, secondly; he offered him 5001. and, thirdly, he offered him 1000 guineas. He answered, as he intended to publish a small edition of the work, that he wished to referve it in his own bands.

The witness said he had seen Paine write, and thought he should know his hand writing. He looked at several letters signed Thomas Paine, and believed they were the hand-writing of the desendant. One of these letters empowered Mr. Jordan of Fleetstreet, who was the publisher of this work, to declare that if any person enquired who was the author of the work, to say, that he, Paine, was the author. Others of these letters were orders to Mr. Chapman to deliver copies of the Rights of Man to Mr. Jordan.

John Perdue faid, he was formerly acquainted with Mr. Paine when he was in the Excise, and before he went to America. A letter, was thewn him, which Paine wrote to Archibald McDonald, Esq. his Majesty's Attorney General. The witness said, he believed it was the hand-writing of Mr. Paine. This letter was dated Paris, Nov. 11th, first year of the Republic.—There were passages in this letter, re-

flecting on the Royal Family of England.

Mr. Esskine objected to this letter being read in evidence, as it was not relevant to the subject of the present trial. My Lord, said he, the Attorney General states, that it contains a Libel of the most atrocjous kind against his Majesty, and his illustrious progeny; if so, let him be prosecuted by another information.

It cannot prove any thing contained in the Second Part of the Rights of Man, nor will be exidence whether any thing therein contained be libellous or not. The prefent Information charges nothing respecting this Letter, and Mr. Atterney General may aswell read any other work of Mr. Pame as this pretended Letter.

Lord Lenyon faid, he felt the force of Mr. Erskine's objection in the light which he put it, and he should certainly reject the Letter, if the Attorney General

had attempted to introduce it, either as matter of aggravation or inducement to the Jury to find the Defendant guilty on the present charge. But the Letter contained an avowal that Mr Paine was the Author of the Book which is the subject of this Trial, and as the Attorney General offered it only to prove

this fact, he could not refift its being read.

The Attorney General then stated the substance of the letter, which was, that he wrote to the Attorney General as a Friend, that he had nothing to do with the trial himfelf. Whatever was the event, it could affect him in no other way than the increase of his reputation; that it was not his trial, but a trial of the English Government with the people of England; that getting a verdict against him (which however would be difficult except by a packed jury), was the fame as a verdict against the man in the moon; that had he time when he was in England, he would have published the information, with remarks upon it, but that now he would wait until the trial was over, and then he should have some observations. It stated, that it was possible for him to believe that Mr. Guelph, or any of his profligate fons, had not capacity to govern a nation.—In flating this part, the Attorney General felt great emotion; he made feveral fuitable comments on it.-The letter then went on, stating feveral other particulars, together with a request that it might be read by the Attorney General to the Jury at the trial.

Several other letters were produced, all of which were proved to be the hand-writing of Mr. Paine—In short, there was no occasion for all these proofs, as nothing was denied upon the facts on the part of Mr. Paine by his council, except the letter to the Attorney

(Jeneral.

The Profession being thus closed, Mr. Erfking addressed the Court as follows:

Gentlemen of the Jury,

In that past of the Attorney General's address in which he commented on the subject of a letter stated to have been received from France, he did not appear

to me to play the actor, when he exhibited figns of of emotion proceeding from feelings that did honour to his nature-from feelings which, animated by his duty, must make him eager to express his abhorrence of the direct and scandalous attack upon the honour of his Royal Mafter, and upon the character of his family. If his embarraffment was so great, and if his feeling were fo deeply wounded, he will do me the justice, that mine must be equally, if not more deeply affected. In the high office which he holds, he must feel the strongest sense of duty in correcting every imputation that may be thrown on the august Personage whom he serves, but that high Personage is too far removed, too elevated above fociety, for the learned Gentleman to enter into those habits which beget the nicer fensibilities of the heart. stand in the same situation with the Attorney-General, in respect of another august and illustrious Person, implicated in the most improper manner in the forged letter which he has read, and in addition to my official fituation, he has had the condescension to admit me to the honour of his friendship, and therefore I owe him, I feel for him, all the duty of a grateful servant, to an indulgent master, and all the affection which man can owe to man. What then must be my embarrassment this day, I must be without feelings and without affections, if I did not experience at this moment, the most afflicting emotions. But great, though they are, they have not been able to detach me from the duty which I owe to my profession, to justice, to honour, and to my country. Gentlemen, it is the first and dearest benefit of an Englishman, that he is intitled to an impartial trial. The author of the Rights of Man would have had ample ground of detraction, if there appeared the smallest tincture of partiality in the proceedings of this Court. If the man whom he had chosen to defend him, had been intim dated by threats---had been reduced by prejudicehad been prevailed upon in any way to abandon the cause, he might have had occasion to complain. It would, indeed, he ablur and a blot on our Conftitution, if a man could fay that the flightest impedi-

ment was thrown in the way of justice. I lament, therefore, that the Attorney-General thought it neceffary to read that forged letter. If he knew it to be genuine and authentic, it was his duty to make it the Subject of a distinct prosecution. It has nothing to do with the present trial. I seel the impression it has made on your minds. I feel the impression it has made on my own. But I stand here as the advocate of Thomas Paine for the book that is the subject of the information, and knowing how effential it is to the character of British justice that the Bar should be free, I will still maintain what I conceive to be my professional duty as far as nature can struggle. forged letter was admitted only under the pretext of its ferving to decypher the que anims of the Author in writing the book. Granting even that it be a genuine letter, which I expressly deny, it has not the smallest tendency to establish that fact. It was written months after the publication: nay, after the Author had withdrawn from his country; after a time when, from the treatment he received at Dover, he might confider himfelf as one expelled from his country. Granting, therefore, that the letter were genuine, it is immaterial; it is foreign to the present trial; and the Attorney-General has utterly failed in that which I contend to be effential to a profecution, in pruducing evidence of the evil mind with which the Author wrote his book. No one fact, previous to the publication, has he stated. Nothing to delineate the malignity, the wicked defign, the seditions spirit with which the Author propagated his doctrines; nothing has he brought forward but this forged letter written so many months after, and in such circumstances.

The Attorney-General has faid, that a rumour has gone abroad that the prefent profecution has been carried on without his approbation, and he has thought it necessary to do away this impresson by stating his direct concurrence. No one can be more ready than myself to do liberal justice to the candour, impartiality, and fairness of the learned Gentleman. I have no doubt of the integrity with which he has brought even the pre-

fent action; but if he felt himself a little embarraffed by these rumours, which at best must have been heard only in a narrow circle, what must be my situation this day? standing up as I do against prejudices that have been openly, widely, generally circulated-Against imputations of the groffest and the most as thing kind-Gentlemen, you cannot be ignorant of the diffraceful means that have been taken, not merely to inflame the public mind against the Defendant, but to stamp and brand every man with the mark and reprojeh of difaffection to the Government and Conflitution, who shall venture to hazard the flightest approbation of his doz-Can you go into any places of public refort, either for pleafure or bulinefs, without hearing the cry against this man-without hearing every description of persons who may be inclined to with for the reform of certain abuses in the administration of Government, confounded into one band of desperate destroyers of the Conflitation? Can you go into any of these places without feeing the newspapers fill d with the most direct attacks upon my character, for having undertaken his defence, as if it were not the bounded duty of 2 professional man to exercise his faculties in the cause of justice, impartially? Without making myfelf a party to the cause of my client, I hold myself bound to stand up in his defence, and to take care that whatever be the offence alledged against him, he stiall have all the benefits to be derived from the law, and from the pure administration of it. I fay, Gentlemen, that the Constitution would be gone indeed, if the feelings, the foibles, the virtues, the caprices of Barriflers were to be indulged, in the causes in which they take a a part.—What would be the confequence of giving them an election? What would be the confequence if it were to be permitted to a man high in his profession to exercise his discretion in stating what causes he would appear in, and in what not? Would it not be giving a previous judgment in the case? Would it not be arrogating to himself the province of the Judge, and giving a colour and prejudice to the case? I am sure the Noble Lord will tell you, that it is inconfistent with the pure administration of justice,

that personal feelings should be permitted to weigh against public duty. I do not affect to hold myself out as a person so high in the profession as that weight fhould be given to the authority of my example, but yet from my station at this Bar, I may say, without the imputation of vanity, that it would be a flagrant breach of the integrity of the practice, detrimental and injurious to the character of the Bar, if I could be induced by any confiderations to forego the defence of a chent. I have, therefore, Gentlemen, treated with the contempt that they merited, all the farcasins, all the calumnies, with which the convertation of companies, and the columns of newspapers, have been loaded. Regardless of all that folly, and of all that malice, can utter, I shall maintain until I die, the equal right of every man of this kingdom to a fair trial; and on this ground I stand up to defend this book, and this author, as by the law of England he, and it, can be defended. It is my duty. Gentlemen, to state the Question of this day. Question is not whether the English Constitution he preferable to that of America, or that of France, for the common fense of the most uninformed person must revolt at fuch an idea; for how can a Court, constituted by English Government and English law, give a decifion against that which creates its authority and constitutes its jurisdiction. It is not, therefore, the question this day, to try whether the doctrines of this author are congenial with the Constitution of England; nor whether they are doctrines opposite to, and in hostility with, the Constitution of England, but whether the book has been written and published agreeable to, and in conformity with, the law of the land.

I will nake myself clearly understood—Suppose them that the doctrines of Thomas Paine were doctrines, Gentlemen, of which every one of you approved, and that I were now addressing myself to a Jury of Republicans, I say that you would not be authorized to give a verdict for the Desendant, if it should be made manifest to you, by the evidence, that he wrote these doctrines, and published them for the malignant purpose of promoting an insurrection in the country, thereby offending against the laws of the land, you have no jurishicities

diction and authority but from law; you have no right to give a verdict in favour of a man offending against the law, because you may happen to entertain opinions of the same kind which produced this breach of the This the Noble Lord will tell you from the Bench, and it is material for you to reflect upon this clear description of your duty; for it matters not how perfectly confishent with your opinions the doctrines might be; if they are contrary to the spirit and letter of the law, you must condemn. Were I therefore, as I fav, addreffing a body of men who lived upon this book, of whom it was the foul, who doated on it, as on an angel from heaven, I would fay, Gentlemen, you cannot acquit this man if he has committed an offence against the law. It is not whether his opinion be your's, you are not to try his opinions, for the law has nothing to do with opinions; the law binds only conduct, it leaves opinion open. You must see, therefore, what his conduct has been, and suffer not the congeniality of your opinions to blind and influence your judgment. This may be confidered as a large concession, by some, as an artful manœuvre by others; but I affert, that it is a clear distinct description of the office of the Jury; for, on the other hand, if you shall disapprove of the doctrines of Thomas Paine, if you shall think that the Government of England has not the infirmities he imputes to it, nay, that the passages of the book related by the Attorney General tend to injure that Constitution, and to alienate the minds of the people of this country from the love of it; I say, that you cannot convict this man, unless you shall be convinced that he has overstepped the Freedom of the Press; for, Gentlemen, you must look at the mind, you must enquire whether he has written this book with an honest intention, from the genuine fentiments of his heart, and from a pure conviction that he was thereby promoting defcussions for the benefit of his fellow citizens; and this is to be collected from the matter on the record. If a man is to be judged on extrinsic matter, that matter must be brought into connection, and put upon the record, that the Jury may be able to judge of it, and that the Defendant may have all the benefits of the law. D 2 crime

crime then is charged upon this man?-That h has written and published this book, and that this book is hollile to the Conflitation of England?-No. The law of Fuzi nd knows no fuch crime. It must be proved, in order to conflitte his guilt, not whether the Attorney (repeat) approves the book-not whether you approve the bank-but whether Paine did not fit down and write a book against a Constitution which he admind and loved, with the diabolical intention of provoking differed and fedition in the country. If this were proved, Thomas Pame could not be defended, but if he thinks, what I and you go not think, that the Constitution of Lin land is not the best calculated to promote the happinets of the people of this country, he is not guilty of any offence igamit the law, though his opimions may be inconfiftent with the principles of the Constitution. Every men is protected in his opinions, it is only his conduct that makes guilt.

I hold it, Gentlemen, to be the duty of an advocate, if he should happen to have sentiments different from his client, that he is bound to conceal them. I hold him equally bound to disclose his opinions, if they should happen to be favourable to him; for he is bound to exert his whole faculties in support of his client. I am fure that it will be of little weight, what may be my opinions upon those doctrines, but I am ready to give my client all the benefit that he can derive from the declaration of my lentiments. I profess myself then to love the genuine principles of the British Conflitution, and I folemnly believe, that the Conflitution, as admiinstered by King, Lords, and Commons, is the best calculated to fecure the happinels of the people of this country, of any frame of Government, ever conceived by man. I think it necessary thus to preface my particular opin ons, that you, Gentlemen, may fee that they come from no suspected quarter, that we are in unifou with each other, and that in ftriving to afcertain the truth, and to secure the happiness of this country, we are animated by a common principle. I proceed, therefore, to fay, and this proposition I pledge inviels to maintain; from the very nature of civil lociety and Government, as well as from the theory and practice of the English English law, that unless it can be proved, which I contend it has not been, that he has endeavoured to excite individuals to rebellion against the national will, from an evil mind, you cannot convict him of a libel on the record. Though you and I may think differently, that is no object, there would be an end of the liberty of Englishmen if they could not write and speculate freely upon the principles of Government. The I reedom of the Press of England, Gentlemen, confish in this, that a man must not address to individuals, upon the spur of iome occasion, opinious that shall provoke them to fedition, to infurrection, and tumult, but he may freely address to the universal reason of a whole net on, principles of Government, congenial with, or hofile to, the form of Government under which he lives. He may canvas the forms of Government in general, or he may discuss those of his own in particular, he may take them to pieces, he may feautin ze them with the greatest rigour—he may flate what he thinks to be wrong in the original conception, he may trace the gradual progress of decay, or of corruption, he may point out the confequences he may awell on this enormity, he may warn the people of their fate, and, in all this, he is not t'e subject of a criminal pro-He is not crim inl, unit's he did it, not contemplating the lane of metoraling the condition of the pople, but feather on the mifery, with the prospect or which he is dulged a diabolical nature. The I berty of the liefs con is in this, that any individu I may to the his fellow-citizens doctrines in opposition to those under which they live, he may exercise his own faculties. It may provote them to the exercise of theirs, he may persuade the whole people to agree with him in thefe doctrines, and he is not guilty, but if he itiall teach it as a doctrine, that any individual may oppose the law of the land, may refift the legal authority, and may, by hunfelf, or in conjunction with others, not merely disobey, but thwart and impede the fettled order of fociety, he would be guilty of a libel upon the record. Shew me an exprefsion like these in the hooks of Thomas Paine, shew me, from any part of the evidence which the Attorney Creneral

General has brought forward, any thing to prove the evil mend of the author. The Attorney General has brought forward a fet of passages, but he knows that passages are not to be taken without the context. You must discover the mind of Thomas Paine in his book, and as you must take his book out of Court with you it will be your duty to read it carefully. What will you say, Gentlemen, when you find, in the very Preface to his book, the following sentiments of the very one knows, that the very end of a presace is to aunounce to the reader the intention and substance of the following tract; and here you will find, Gentlemen, in the very outset of this dangerous and diabolic d performance, an antidote to the very posion which it is stated to differentiate.

"If a law be bad, it is one thing to oppose the prectice of it, but it is quite a different thing to expose its errors, to reason on its defects, and to shew cause why it should be repealed, or why another ought to be substituted in its place. I have always held it an opinion (making it also my practice), that it is better to obey a bad law, making use, at the same time, of every argument to shew its errors, and procure its repeal, than forcibly to violate it: because the precedent of breaking a bad law might weaken the force, and lead to discretionary violations of those which are good."

"It is for the good of Nations, and not for the emolument or aggrandizement of particular individuals, that Government ought to be established, and the time is kind are at the expence of supporting it. The detects of every Government and Constitution, both as to principle and form, must, on a parity of reasoning, be as open to discussion as the defects of a law, and it is a duty which every man owes to society to point them out. When these defects, and the means of remedying them are generally seen by a Nation, that Nation will reform its Government or its Constitution in the one case, as the Government repealed or reformed the law

^{*} The case is the same with respect to principles and form, of Government, or to what are called Constitutions, and the parts of which they are composed.

in the other. The operations of Government are refiritted to the making and the administering of laws, but it is to a Nation that the right of forming or reforming, generating or re-generating Constitutions and Governments belong; and consequently those subjects, as subjects of investigation, are always before a country as a matter of right, and cannot, without invading the general right of that country, be made subjects for profecution."

These, Gentlemen, are the Rights of Man: for no man can, without rebellion, withdraw his obedience; nothing fhort of the will of the whole can alter the Constitution of a country, but every individual of that country may publish his opinions, may give his advice, and may endeavour to bring over other people to his fentiments. If a man taught that his fellow-citizens might act at their discretion, if he were to fay that their natural rights freed them from all restraint, and that they were to form to themselves the rule of their own conduct, in direct opposition to the existing law, he could not be acquitted by a tribunal of English justice; but if a man teaches the wildom of Revision and Reform, the duty of meliorating our condition to the uttermoft, or even the advantages that would flow, in his opinion, from a complete alteration of the form of our Government, he acts upon the known, acknowledged, valuable privileges of an Englishman. Thanks be to God, and to the wildom of our ancestors, such has ever been our advantageous Liberty, with fome slight and inclancholy interruption, which I shall bye and by thate to you. If no man could have gone before the day in which he lived, and pointed out, from the force of superior intellect, improvements in our focial fystem, how could Government have passed on from stage to stage, from state to state, until at last it has acquired the beautiful thape and prosperous establishment, which the Attorney General thinks it would be profanation to touch, and to fuch a state of perfection as to make it a vain hope to look for any further melioration?

What is the fairest fruits of the English Tree of Liherty?—The security of our rights and of the law, and that no man shall be brought to trial where there is prejudice

prejudice exilling against him. If any thing appearthat can infect the minds of the Jury, the Court upon motion will postpone the trial. The Noble Lord will recolled, that upon this principle the trial of the Dean of St. Alaph was postponed; for it was stated in the Court, that a number of extracts from ancient books, afferting the rights of Jurors, had been circulated, and the Noble Lord put off the trial. If fuch was the cafe upon the mere circulation of a fet of extracts from a tet of writers of high authority, what must be the situation of my client?-This book, and this defendant, have been the subjects of every fort of imputation. Pending the trial, even a fet of Affociators, who know so little of the Constitution of England, as to interfere with the course of justice, circulate an answer to this very pamphlet. It is given away at turnpikes, thrown down our areas, thrust into every man's hand. The coffeehouses, the newspapers, are full of it—nay, that high tribunal, which is the guardian, and the representative of the popular branch of England, has been infulted with anathemas against this man, and against this book. What is the refult of all this?—That the cause is prejudged—that the mind of no man within the fweep of this torrent can be free; and that if I had made a motion in the Court. I am confident that the justice of the Noble Lord would have postponed the trial. What is the consequence of all this? You, Gentlemen, must guard your minds against the approach of this frenzy; that you must collect firmness with conscience, and elevate yourselves above the seebleness of human weakness. -You must discover his mind in his book, not in the rage, nor in the cant of the times. You must throw away every ? ing but the book—the forged letter that has been read to you, you must equally reject. I heard of this same forged letter, and I have reason to believe it to be a foul forgery, brought forward at this moment. not merely to embarrass me, but to embarrass you; but you must prove that you are not men whose understandings are to be tortured by such appeals. You will prove by your verdict, that you will deal by this man as you would with to be dealt with in a fimilar fituasion.—Remember this doctrine of Englishmen, that opinioa.

opinion is free.- If I were to move an amendment in any part of the existing laws of the land, would it be right, would it be reasonable, that you should convict me of a libel, because you differed from me in opinion? It is the opinion of this author, that it is the duty of citizens to obey a law while it exists. "When a nation," fays he, "changes its opinions and habits of thinking, it is no longer to be governed as before; but it would not only be wrong, but bad policy to attempt by force, what ought to be accomplished by reaton. Rebellion confifts in forcibly opposing the general will of a nation, whether by a party or by individuals." Such is the doctrine of Thomas Paine; and I affert this to be the true law of England; and I fay, that I give the best proof of my attachment to the illustrious family upon the throne, when I maintain these doctrines : and when I affert, that they are the fafert for their definable interests, and for their honour. The Attorney General, in his observation upon the infamous aspersion on the Royal Family, speaks of the heir-apparent of the Crown from hope-I speak from knowledge. Without detaining you for one moment to animadvert on what I think, the indecency of the tameness with which imputations on the Royal progeny have been suffered of late, of the apparent difinclinations to profecute what tends to break the best hopes of Englishmen, and wound the fondest feelings of the Royal bosom, while there is an eagerness to prosecute the discussion of popular opinions. I believe, and I know, that if ever there was a man more firmly attached than another to the pure principles of the British Constitution, to those rights of the people, the exercise of which seated the present Family upon the throne, it is the heir-apparent of the Crown of these realms. If there shall come a time that, by the course of nature, and God forbid that it should ever-happen otherwise than by the course of nature, he should succeed to the throne of his ancestors, I have the firmest confidence that he will make the Constitution the rule of his conduct. I know that these sentiments are congenial to his breast. I had the honour, in my place in the House of Commons, when I believe that august person was present, to pronounce my opinion on political doctrines, and to put the title of the King's Majesty upon the right which the people have to chuse their Chief Magistrate—the only right upon which, in my opinion, the title can be truly sale.

In endeavouring to find the true spirit with which this book was written, you must go back to the train of circumstances which have formed the habits and opimions of the author. You cannot have forgotten that calamitous æra in our history, to which no man can look back without horror,—the zers of the American This man performed a confiderable part on that memorable theatre, and of his conduct there, Mr. Burke, that celebrated character, of whom I cannot speak otherwise than with great respect, however he may affect to treat me with contempt, has spoken of the conduct of Thomas Paine with high respect. Here Mr. Fiskine read some quotations from his Thoughts on le Caufes of the profent discontent, and other works in commendation of I nomas Paine, and he faid that thefe two persons used to be of the same mind, though they now differ. Paine might be right, but Mr. Burke could not be right, for Mr. Pame had been confiftent with himself, but Mr. Burke had contradicted every former opinion. Paine feeing the confequences of the conduct of Britain with regard to America, had attacked the English Conflictation, for Mr. Erskine fud, he does attack the English Constitution—I do not affect to deny it. He speaks against the original frame of our Consistution, what I think is only applicable to its decay, and what, for I will not mince the matter, proceeds from corruptions which would bring on the ruin of us all, if I did not know and believe that the Constitution contains the feeds of its own reform. Is it libellous in him, however, to fay what he has faid against the conflitutent branches of our Constitution -the grandest authorities of England have done the Jame. Mr. Erskine quoted a pallage of a letter from Sir George Savile to his constituents- [Vide Annual Register for 1780, p. 400.]-" I return to you," fays Sir George, " haffled and dispirited, and, I am forry that truth obliges me to add, with hardly a ray of hope, of feeing any change in the miterable course of public calamities ' And of Sir George

George Savile, Mr. Erskine gave the admirable character as drawn by Mr. Burke. At this time Mr. Paine and Mr. Burke fought in the same field-Mr. Burke spoke to Parliament, Mr. Paine to the People. Mr. Paine has only been a plagiary from himself; he has been drawn on in the fame train of thinking; and, therefore, I affert that his book is the product of his real fentiments, the genuine efforts of a mind struggling for what he conceives to be the interests of Humanity. The cause is potent, it produced the revolution in America, it produced the revolution in France; where a Government, incurable from its corruption, and profligacy, was totally overthrown, but can the fame doctrines affect the Constitution of England? No. His doctrines had no effect, nor could there have occurred a period when the public mind could have been excited by any such doctrines, if it had not been previously provoked by the inflammatory, the ill-judged, and the exasperating doctrines of Mr. Burke. He it was who led the van in all this war of fedition.-Let us go back for a moment to this origin. When the people of this country faw this defpicable government of France demolished—that government which had been the scourge of England-they rejoiced in the happiness of fo many millions. A reverend divine, now no more, made it the subject of exhortation from the pulpit. fociety of gentlemen met to celebrate it, and Mr. Burke was roused by this into the fever of opposition. Though he represented them as an infignificant body of obscure individuals, he attacked the whole fystem of England with fuch bitterness of invective, and attacked the most established doctrines, with such vehemence of dissent, that from that day till this the flame of contention has not ceafed to rage.-What was the principle of this work ?- That the People of England had no right, no power, to change a tittle of their Constitution; that it was an indissoluble compact, which at no time, on no ground, for no reason, could be broken: so that neither reason nor revelation, however they might point out to the people of England a better form of government, wifer institutes of peace, stronger bases of liberty, they durst not strive to attain them. If it be fo, for God's

fake, let policy and discretion strive to conceal the afflic mg truth, for it will furely lead to the convultion which he affects to deprecate. Rather put Paine into the hands of every man, make the lowest agnorance acquainted with his doctrines, and chase away, if it he possible, the impressions which Mr. Burke has made. How different is the doctrine of truth and of peace !-How different is the doctrine of the Revolution Look at the Constitution, say the friends of liberty, it is your own treasure. Will you keep it is tis, or will you change it at the suggestion of every theorist? Your own happinels is at flake, but remember the happinels that it has produced you. Will they not be likely to touch with awe a Conflitution v bich comes thus recommended to them by parental tencernofs? and will they not protect it with parental authority -Look now at the address of Mr. Burke. Here is your Conflitution, which we have made for you, and for your posterity for ever. We buckle it on your backs, for you are beafts of burden. You must not dure to touch. it-you have entered into a compact which is indilloluble. Are such doctrines the legitimate way of endouring the Constitution to the people? And I mention all this in order to thew you what the book of Mr. Paine is, for Pame's book is an answer to those doctrines of Mr. Burke-doctrines more right ous than any that could be afferted by Republicans and Levellers 1

Mr. Ersking their cited several of our most eminent Fuglish writers, whose sentil entering are congenial with these of Mr. Paine on the subject of trovers sent — He first quoted Mr. Paley on the Principles of Moral and Political Philosophy, p. 426.

V. "No using, law, or author to whatever is for binding, that it need or ought to be continued, when it may be changed with advantage to the Community. The samely of the Proce, the order of succession, the prerogative of the Crown, the form and parts of the Legislature, together with the refugestive powers, office, duration, and mutual defendency of the several parts, are all only so many mutable like other laws, whenever expediency

· diency requires, either by the ordinary act of the " Legislature, or, if the occasion deserve it, by the 44 interposition of the People. These points are wont to 66 be approached with a kind of awe; they are repre-" fented to the mind as principles of the Constitution " fettled by our ancestors, and, being fettled, to be " no more committed to innovation or debate; as " foundations never to be stirred; as the terms and " conditions of the focial compact, to which every " citizen of the state has engaged his sidelity, by vir-" tue of a promise, which he cannot now recall. "Such reasons have no place in our system? to us, " if there be any good reason for treating these with " more deserence and respect than other laws; it is " either the advantage of the prefent Constitution of " Government (which reason must be of different " force in different countries), or because in all coun-" tries, it is of importance, that the form and usage " of governing be acknowledged and understood, as " well by the governors as the governed, and because " the feldomer it is changed, the more it will be ref-" pected by both fides."

Mr. Erskine then introduced Hume's History of England, vol. ii. p. 88.

"It must be confessed that the former articles of the Great Charter contain such mitigations and explanations of the Feodal Law as are reasonable and equitable; and that the latter involve all the chief outlines of a Loyal Government, and provide for the equal distribution of Justice, and free enjoyment of property; the great object for which positical society was at first sounded by men, which the people have a perpetual and unalienable right to recall, and which no time, nor precedent, nor statute, nor positive institution, ought to deter them from keeping ever uppermost in their thoughts and attention."

The next Writer was the immortal Locke, and he cited the following passages from his Treatise on Civil Government, p. 268, 270, 309, 313.

"therefore they cannot be at liberty to begin a new once. Every one is born a fubject to his father, or his prince, and is therefore under the perpetual is of fubject on and allegrance. It is plain mankind never owned nor considered any such natural fubjection that they were born in, to one or to the other, that tied them, without their own consents, to a subjection to them and their heirs."

"Those who would perfuade us, that by being being ec under any government we are naturally subjects to it, " and have no more any title or pretence to the free-" dom of the state of Nature, have no other reason " (bating that of paternal power, which we have al-" ready answered) to produce for it, but only because " our fathers or progenitors passed away their natur-" al liberty, and thereby bound up themselves and " their posterity to a perpetual subjection to the go-" vernment, which they themselves submitted to. 46 It is true, that whatever engagements or promi-" fes any one has made for himfelf, he is under " the obligation of them, but cannot, by any compact " whatfour, bind his children or posterity, for his 46 fon, when a man, being altogether as tree as the " father any act of the juther can no more give away " the I besty o the fon, that it can of any body else" " And therefore they have a very wrong notion " of Government who fay, that the people have 66 increached upon the prerogative, when they have " got any part of it to be defined by politice laws, " for in to doing they have not pulled from the " prince any thing that of right b longed to him, " but only declared that that power which the in-" definit by I to in his or his 21 (to 3 thinds to be " excicited for their good, was not thing which " they intend d I im when he isted it otherwif " for the end of Covernment being the good of the "Community, whatfoever alterations are made in " it, t indig to that on's connot be in enerousline t " up a my hody, fine in bold in Got amont can be "a the thing that state end and their entre " che oi i" encroachments which prejudice or hinder the public a good. Those who say otherwise, speak as if the prince " had a diffinct and separate interest from the good of the « Community, and was not made for it; the root and " fource from which spring almost all those evils and dif-" orders which happen in Kingly Governments. And " indeed, if that be fo, the people under his government " are not a fociety of rational creatures, entered into a " community for their mutual good; they are not fuch " as have fet rulers over themfelves, to guard and pro-" mote that good; but are to be looked on as an herd of " inferior creatures, under the dominion of a mafter, " who keeps them and works them for his own pleafure " or profit. If men were so void of reason, and brutish, " as to enter into fociety upon fuch terms, Prerogative " might indeed be, what fome men would have it, an " arbitrary power to do things hurtful to the people.

" And, therefore, though the People cannot be judge, " fo as to have, by the conflitution of that fociety, any " fuperior power, to determine and give effective fen-" tence in the case; yet, they have, by a law antecedent " and paramount to all positive laws of men, referved " that ultimate determination to themselves which be-" longs to all mankind; God and Mature never allowing " a min to to abandon himfelf, as to neglect his own " prefervation; and fince he cannot take away his own " life, neither can he give another power to take it. " Nor let any one think this lays a perpetual foundation " for diforder; for this operates not till the inconveniency " is so great, that the majority seel it, and are weary of " it, and find a necessity to have it amended. But this " the executive power, or wife princes, never need come " in the danger of; and it is the thing, of all others, " they have most need to avoid, as of all others the most perilous."

"Whenfoever, therefore, the legislative shall transgress this fundamental will of society, and either by
ambition, fear, folly, or corruption, endeavour to
grasp themselves, or put into the hands of any other,
an absolute power over the lives, liberties, and estates
of the people; by this breach of truth they forseit
the power the people had put into their hands for
quite

" quite contrary ends, and it devolves to the people, " who have a right to refume their original liberty, " and, by the effablishment of a new legislative, (such " as they shall think fit) provide for their own fat 'y " and security, which is the end for which they are " in fociety. What I have faid here, concerning the " legislative, (such as they shall think fit) provide for " their own fafety and fecurity, which is the end I " which they are in fociety. What I have faid here, " concerning the legislative in general, holds true con-" cerning the supreme executor, who having a double " trust put in him, both to have a part in the levilla-" tive, and the supreme execution of the law, acts " against both, when he goes about to set up his own " arbitary will as the law of the fociety. He acts ilfo " contrary to his truft, when he either employs the " force, treafure, and offices, of the Society, to corrupt " the representatives, and gain them to his purpel of " or openly presentinges the electors, and p of ribos to "their choice fuch, whom he has by fricitations " threats, promifes, or otherwife, won to his dig ... " and employs them to bring in such, who have pro-" mifed beto e-hand what to vote, and what to er it "Thus to regulate candidates and electors, and no s-" model the ways of election, what is it but to cu up " the Government by the roots, and poison the var "fountain of buol of curry? For he people her gereferved to therefe's in choose of the riprificient " tives, as the fince to thir properties, could do it " for no other end, but that they may alway bufully " chosen, and so existing a ly act and alve for the " necessity of the common will by an the public only " fhould, upon examination, and mature dibit, I " judge? to require. This there who is their to " before to v here the d bare, and n " reasons on all sides, are not capable of loing " prepare fuch an afterably as this, and cocatour co " fet up the d clared abettors of his own will, fire ... " true repril near vesicitive people, and the law miles " of the fociet,, is cert, nly as great a breach of tria, " and as perfect a declaration of a design to fuby t " the Government, as is possible to be met with

which, if one shall add rewards and punishments visibly employed to the same end, and all the arts of perverted law made use of to take off and destroy all that stand in the way of such a design, and will not comply and consent to betray the liberties of their country, it will be past doubt what is doing. What power they ought to have in the society, who thus employ it contrary to the trust which went along with it in its first institution, is easy to determine, and one cannot but see, that he who has once after noted any such thing as this, cannot any longer be trusted."

"But it will 'e frid, this hypothelis lays a ferment for frequent rebelion To which I answer.

" Furst, No more than any other hypothesis " when the People are made nul rable and find them-" felves expered to the ill usuage of arbitrary power, " cry up their revented, as such as you vill, for " fors of Jupiter, let them be fixed and come, di-" cended or authorized from themen, gooth mon " for whom or what you plent, the fame will map in "The People gen rally ill-treated, and contrary to " right, will be ready upon any occasion to call them-" filves of a burden that fits heavy upon th m They " will wish, and seek for the opportunity, which in the " change, weakness and accidents of human affairs, " feldom delays long to offer infelf. He must have " lived but a little while in the world, who his not to feen examples of this in his time, and he must have " read very little, who cannot p oduce examples of it " in all forts of government in the world

"Secondly, I answer such revolutions happen not upon every little missinangement in pub c affire Great missakes in the ruling part, many wrong and inconvenient laws, and all the slips of human frastly, will be borne by the People, without initing or murmur. If a long train of abuses, pievincations and artifices, all tending the same way, make the design visible to the people, and they cannot but feel what they he under, and she whicher they are going, it is not to be wondered at, that they should the a rouse themselves, and endeavour to put the rule into such hands as may secure to them the ends for which Government was at first enabled, and with-

" out which, ancient names, and specious forms, are so " far from being better, that they are much worfe, than " the state of nature, or pure Anarchy; the inconve-" mencies being all as great and as near, but the reme-

" dy farther off and more Jifficult.

"Thirdly, I answer, that this doctrine of a power " in the people of providing for tacir fifety a-new, by " a new legislative, when their legislator in a cited con-" trary to their truft, by invading their property, is the " b if fence against jebellion, and the probableit in cans is to hinder it for rebellion being an opposition, not to " p fore, but authority, which is founded only in the - Continuous and Laws of the Government, those, " whoever they be, who by force break through, and " by force jullify their violation of them, are truly and " properly rebels for when men, by entering into fo-" city and civil government, have excluded force, " and in roduced laws for the prefervation of property, " peace and unity amongst their solves, those who set " up force again in opposition to the laws, do rebel-" Ine, that is, bring back again the flate of wir, and " are properly robols: which they who are in power, " (b) the pretence they have to authority, the tempa tation of force they have in they their hands, and the " If try or these about them) being likehest to le, or the propositionary to proposit the coal, as to linew them er the dain riand it, affice of it, who are under to tele te apt from to run into it.

" Nor let any one fay, that mischief can arise " from h. ce, as often as it shall please a busy " I call, or to belont foir t, to defire the alteration " of the Go einment. It is true, fuch men may fen " who crither please, but it will be only to their " own jail rum and perdition: for till the milchist " be grown general, and the ill defigns of the rulers " become vilible, or il or attempts lenfible to the " greater part, the people, who are more disposed to " lefter than r ght themselves by returnee, we not " apt to fir. The examples of particular injuffice, " or oppession of here and there an unfortunate man, " moves them not. But if they universally have a " perfusion grounded upon manifest evidence, that " defigns

" defigns are carrying on against their liberties, " and the general course and tendency of things " cannot but give them strong suspicious of the evil " intention of their Governors, who is to be blamed " for it? Who can help it, if they who might avoid " it, bring themselves into this suspicion? Are the " people to be blamed, if they have the fenfe of ra-" tional creatures, and can think of things no other-" wife than as they find and feel them?-And is it " not rather their fault who put things into fuch a " posture, that they would not have them thought to " be as they are? I grant that the pride, ambition, " and turbulency of private men, have fometimes " caused great disorders in commonwealths, and fac-" tions have been fatal to flates and kingdoms. But " whether the mischief hath oftner begon in the peo-" ple's wantonness, and a defire to call off the lawful " authority of their rulers, or in the rulers' infolence, " and endeavours to get and exercise an arbitrary " power over their people; whether oppression or " disobedience gave the first rife to the disorder, I " leave it to impartial history to determine.

Mr. Burkes's Letter to the Sheriffs of Briffol, was then introduced; and Mr. Erskine read the following passages from p. 55. 57, 58.

" If there be one fact in the world perfectly clear, " it is this, 'That the disposition of the people of " America is wholly averse to any other than a free " government;" and this is indication enough to any " honest Statesman, how he ought to adapt whatever " power he finds in his hands to their cale. If any " ask me what a free government is, I answer, that, " for any practical purpose it is what the people " think fo; and that they and not I, are the natural, " lawful, and competent judges of this matter. If " they practically allow me a greater degree of au-" thority over them, than is confident with any cor-" rect ideas of perfect freedom, I ought to thank 44 them for so great a trust, and not to endeavour to " prove from thence, that they have reasoned amiss, " and that having gone to far, by analogy, they " must hereafter have no ci joyment but my pleasure. F 2

"Civil Treedom, gentlemen, is not, as many have e dearoured to perfuade you, a thing that less had in the depth of abitrule science. But is a bicking and a benefit, not an abstract speculation, and all the just rensoning that can be upon it, is of so coarse a texture, as perfectly to suit the ordinary capacities of those who are to enjoy and to describe.

" For Liperty is a good to be improved, and not " an evil to be leffened. It is not only a pri-"vate bleffing of the first order, but the vital " foring and energy of the flate itself, which has " just so much life and vigour as there is liberty in "it. But whether liberty be advantageous or not, " (for I know it is a fathion to decry the very prinor ciple) none will dispute that peace is a blessing. " and peace must, in the course of human affairs, " he frequently bought by some indulgence and " toleration at least of liberty. For as the Sabbath " (though of divine inflitution) was made for man, " not man for the Sabhath, Government, which " can claim no higher origin or authority, in its " eyercife at leaft, ought to conform to the exi-" gencies of the times, and the temper and cha-" racter of the people with whom it is concerned, " and not always to attempt, violently, to bend the " people to their theories of Subjection. The belk " of Mankind, on their part, are not excellively "curious concerning any theories, while this are really lapse, and on fure longton of in l " corduced "rate, is the properties of the people 8 to ido tin dienⁱⁿ

Do i let is 1. All, Centlemen, in deciding went is great que in P fore we concern it is in to be bell, box, and endle, let us reflect a titl on it progress of the principles of feedorial it short o wait ago, to be a cold have an appart in very different to judy of a Lib bis but a veat old. It is but it valor eight years ago when I frood upon a question like the prefers, upon very different ground when I had to sure for it me against the embarrel

ments with which I was fortounded in the prefence of an enlightened profession, and holding a doctrine that was fcouted. The Earl of Mansfield, who, though he could not treat me with unkinducts, for it was not in his nature, laughed at me as you laugh at the prattle of a child, and I was defired to go to school with my new-fangled doctrines, to learn the law of the land. Here is an argument agend rathness. I have lived to see the Parliament of Lugland unanimously declare that I was in the right. Knowing then thit it has been by flow and gradual degrees, that the conflitution of England has grown to what it is, for God's fake let us be careful not to stifle the voice of reason by a rash and hasty decision. If Mr. Burke's doctrine be right, no opinions upon government can be published, for the people have no junification. If the people have not the power to alter and amend, adv cons not morely importment, it is dangerous. A period come to be competent must have power; and whe, therefore, he afferts that the people of this country are utterly and for ever deprived of the power of changing their Conflictation, he pronouces a problemion upon all reaforing, upon all opinion, upon all adeuthon. What has been the course of man? That he has been led by awful authorities, flep by flep, from his favage flate in the woods, to our present blessed condition of light, of knowledge, and of enjoyments. We should still be favages, we should yet be in the woods, if this prohibition had exifted, and to what mult we return, if every man is to be condemned, who shall now promulgate the opinions that he entertains upon the nature of government?

Ot all the attainments of a people, the Liberty of the Preis has been un formly the Lid-Unformly despot in has refifted the propagation of truth. All other concessions power has been willing to make, but against the truths of reason-against the light of knowledge, it has maintained an eternal war. Let us recollect the course of the world, the uniserial God of Nature, the Saviour of Mankind, the Fountain of all Light, who came to fave man from eternal darkeds, expired on a cross-the object of listed them of impious meredulity, because they rushly opposed the mark and mild

propagation of the truths that were to point to peace and happiness in the world. His blessed followers fell victims to the fame horrid fpii t. The Saviour might have come, invested with eternal power, and compelled the inftantineous acceptance of his doctrines. But he came to contound the pirde of worldly power. He came cloathed in meckness, in benevolence, in humility, and he preached his confolations to the poor, in this way did political power continue to exercise its tyranny, until religion had arisen to such a standard as to make it formidable, and then political power took ecclefiaftical power into partnership, when they exerted their combined efforts at once against the reason, and the conscience of man. Thus, upon the revival of letters, was superstition made the instrument of political tyranny, but at length the inquifitive fpirit of Englishmen burst the chains, and the Liberty of the Press had its beginning. It was free until, (in 1637), the Star Chamber was erected, mark the confequence, the people suffered under restraint indeed, but in eleven years Charles I. perished on a scossold. Gentlemen, the human mind cannot live under restra at. Let men communicate their thoughts with freedom, and their indignation fly off like a fire spread on the surface, I ke gunpowder feattered they kindle, they communicate, but the explosion is neither loud nor dangerous -keep them under restraint, it is a subternaneous fire, whose agitum nois ui feen untill it burfts into earthquake or volcano. Sach was the whole eff of the restraints in To and. Cromwell fuffered almost alt his missert aurs from this coult, and the vigour of his government could not far a again? co isequences of his attack on the liberty of the restraint continued and " Charles II in July amotions " t it produced, happily " - England and for man, ended in the revolution. All the I confes were do no way, the picks was made free, and the Constitution has already endured a century. Thus, gentlemen, our government has been tale in proportion to the ficedom of writing. Here Mr Erikine produced some of the finest authorities of England-the glorious Milton led the van his memorable paffage, that in former times the iffic of the brain was no more fliffed than the affue of the verib, and his time illustration of the consequences of fig. The truth, in the sate of Galileo,

were dexterously used by Mr. Erskine—He perished in a prison, because he ventured to think, from observing the phases of Venus, that the sun was that centre of our system, and yet, said he, we know that the sun is in that centre, and by our knowledge, we are enabled to push our navigation to the extreme limits of the world, and, by line and rule, to make our way through regions the most unknown.

He then cited the following paffage from Milton's Areo-

pagatica, p. 58.

" If it be defired to know the immediate crufe of all " this free writing, and free speaking, there cannot be as-" figned a true than your own mild, and free, and humane " government; it is the liberty, Lord and Commons, "which your own valourous and happy consider have pur-" chased us; liberty which is the nurse of all great wits et this is that which hath runned and enlightened our fairies " like the influence of heaven; this is that which hath "enfranchifed, enlarged, and lifted up our apprehentions degrees above themselves. Ye cannot make us now less capable, less knowing, less eagerly purating of the " truth, unless ye first make yourselves, that made us so, " less the lovers, less the founders of our true liberty. " We can grow ignorant again, brutilit, formal, and flav-" ifh, as ye found us; but you then must first become that " which ye cannot be, oppressive, arbitrary, and tyrannous, " as they were from whom ye have need us. That our " hearts are now more capacious, our thoughts now more " erected to the fearch and expectation of the greatest and " exacteft things, as the iffue of your own vertue propagated " in us; ye cannot suppress that, unless ye reinforce an " abrogated and mercelefs law, that fothers may dispatch at " will meir own children. And who thall then flick closeft " to ye, and excite others? Not he who takes up arms for " coat and conduct, and his four nobles of Danegelt. Al-" though I dispraise not the desence of just immunities, " yet love my peace better, if that were all. Give me the " liberty to know, to utter, and to argue freely according " to confcience, above all liberties. "What would be best advised then, if it be found so hurt-

"What would be best advised then, if it be found so hurtful and so unequal to suppress opinions for the newness or
unsuitableness to a customary acceptance, will not be my
task to say; I shall only repeat what I have learnt from
the Lord Brook—he exhorts us to hear with patience and

↔ humi-

" humility, those, however they may be miscalled, that defire " to live purely in such a use of God's Ordinances as the best " guidance to their conferences gives them, and to tolerate "them, though in some disconformity to ourselves .- And " now the time in special is by privilege to write and speak " what may help to further the discussing of matters in agia tatio . - The Temple of Janus, with his two controver-" fial faces, might now not infigurateantly be fet open, and " although all the Winds of Doctrine were let loofe to play " upon the earth, so Truth be in the field, we do injurious " by licenfing and prohibiting to mildoubt her firength-" let her and fulshood grapple; who ever knew truth put " to the worfe in a free and open encounter? her co during " is the best and surest suppressing—when a man hath been "labouring the hardest labour in the deep miles of know-"ledg , hath furmished out his findings in a tract equipage, " drawn forth his reason as it were abatile langed, feather-" cland defeated all obj Trons in his way, colis out his ad-" verfary into the plant; offers him all the ad ante cof vind "and feat if he pract that he may try the not it by unit " of argument—for his opponents then to it ill, to i.e. " bullments, to keep a narrow bridge of licenting v " the challenger should pefor though it be valour enough a " foldiership, is but weakness and cowardice in the vars of " truth, for who knows not that Truth, like the Almight, " is firong, the needs no policies nor first igems" (he inglit have added profecutions) "to make hir victoric is."

There is such a connection between licentious is and liberty, that it is not easy to conect the one, without dangerously wounding the other, this citizeness hard to diffinguish the rice limit between them. Like a changeable tilk, we can easily see there are two onlerent colours, but we cannot easily discover where the one ends, or where the other begins.

The Attorney General had given no principles to draw the line by which we were to know where the Liberty of the Preis ended, and the heantiochis is began. He applied Lord Loughborough's memorable observation of the application. "To your tents, Olfrael," as a pregnant instance of what would be fed tious. Earl Stanhope had, with great shrewdness, reducied this fort of Liberty of the Preis, which was to be all on one side—a liberty to praise Ministers. This is a

fort of liberty that even the Empress of Russia would give you, and it may be called the Russian Liberty of the Press. To make the work of the author appear seditious, the doctrine of Lord Loughborough must be proved. It must be shewn that his doctrine was coupled with circumstances, or with expressions, tending clearly to provoke tumult; fuch as, "We shall see how this will work-this will bring on a new revolution-we shall have French insurrections." But, said Mr. Erskine, no such thing: if one such expression had been proved, I would have through up my brief-as they are not, I fland up to give him the benefits of a fair trial, and I am not to be slandered with the charge of difaffection for exercifing my faculties in his defence. When my Lord and I were engaged together as counfel for Lord George Gordon, as well might we have been fuspected of savouring tumult and disorder, as that I now should be of fedilin and disaffection.

Mr. Erskine then cited a pulse from Lord Stanhope's Rights of Juries Defended, as follows, page

142, 143, 144.

"The thing that is illegal, is the exciting any one to " fedition, or to a breath f the Peace. The question, "therefore, upon a Libel is, whether the Paper pu-" blished did thus excite and was so intended. Confees quently mere speculative writing on the Constitution are not Libels, however obf-rd they may be. Suppose, " for inflance, that a man were to write a speculative 66 work, to prove that a trial by a fingle Judge would " be far preferable to the Trial by Jury; or that a Parliament, composed only of a King and House " of Peers, would be beyond comparison better than a " Legislature of King, Lords, and Commons. No " man could possibly reprobrate such a work more than " I should: but if the work did not excite the people of to fedition, such a speculative Publication could cer-" tainly never be deemed a Libel: for abfurdity is no " part of the definition of a Libel.

[&]quot;If our boassed Liberty of the Press were to consist only in the Liberty to write in praise of the Constitution; that is a Liberty enjoyed under many an arm of the constitution."

" biirary Government. I suppose it would not be deemed quite an unpardonable Offence even by the Empress of Russia, if any man were to take it into his head to write a panegyric upon the Russian form of Government. Such a Liberty as that might there- fore properly be termed the Russian Liberty of the Press. But, the English Liberty of the Press. But, the English Liberty of the Press, is of a very different description: for, by he Law of Eng- land, it is not prohibited to publish speculative Works upon the Constitution, whether they contain Praise or Censure."

"The Liberty of the Press is of inestimable value, for, without it, this Nation might soon be as thoroughly enslaved as France was, or as Turkey is. Every man who detests the old Government of France, and the present Government of Turkey, must be, theressore, earnest to secure that Palladium of Liberty; and must also be anxious to preserve to the People, inviolate, the Trial by Jury, that transcendant, that incomparable and guardian Right."

Mr. Erskine came now to examine the passages set forth in the Information. We have already gone at fo much length into his general argument, as to be unable to follow him in the ingenuity of his observations on his Extract. As to the first, that all hereditary government was a tyranny, he defired the Jury to look at the context. I deny, fays Paine, that hereditary Monarchy is the Constitution. He does not inherit us like floks, for the People may confer it; they have conferred it. This is his argument. The fecond was an extraordinary felection—it would be no attack upon the Revotion, if it had been accomplished by demons. Do we love the Reformation less for being accomplished by one of the most profligate of our Kings? No matter that the Revolution was brought about by intrigue; that it was the work of a Coalition. It is not by whom, but what it is, that the People will enquire. He contended, that the People had, by the freedom of opinion, obtained every thing from Magna Charta downwards? The third quotation, that we had hardly any law in England; was no libel; for he did not arraign the admimistration

mistration of law, and many of our best writers had asferted, that there was much confusion, much difficulty, much to be mended in our jurisprudence. With regard to the passages on the two Houses of Parliament, it was strange that Mr. Burke should accuse him; he who had so severely, so outrageously impeached both Houses in their turn; and here he quoted some of the memorable passages of Mr. Burke, of the Lords voting themselves useless, and of an addressing House of Commons, when there was a petitioning People. He quoted also the memorable Proclamation of Mr. Pitt and the Duke of Richmond, from the Thatched House Tavern. On the celebrated paffage of Mr. Burke, that Kings were naturally fond of low company, and that Lords were only low buffoons, he made a fevere comment. It was a scandalous aspersion on the King, and on the Nobility; but these associators might write, though they would fuffer no one to write but themfelves, and yet, forfooth thefe affociators called themfelves lawyers, though fo ignorant of the character and delicacy of the law, as to propagate every species of prejudice against this man, and to create such a line and cry against him, that if he had been thained with blood inflead of ink-if he had been degraded by the crimes that make humanity abhorrent, ought not to have been raifed against him in the moment of his trial-fuch a clamour, that even he was almost beat down and abashed by the roar. Even in the House of Parliament he was stigmatized by name, but he had delivered his opinion with the spirit and independence that becaume a professional man, determined not to fhrmk from his duty, whatever might be the hazard. These affociators, had, with equal ignorance, faid, that the great Harrington was as great a thief, and as obscure a ruffian, even as Paine.-Who was Harrington?—It might have fatisfied them to have read his pedigree; -he was descended from eight Dukes, three Marquilles, leventy Earls, twenty-leven Viscounts, and thirtyfix Barons, of which number fixteen were Knights of the Garter. Will not this do, faid Mr. Erskine, even for this time?-In a Court of Germany it would be decifive; but what was better than his descent was his conduct. He was the faithful fervant of his Master Charles; he was a man of fuch

such undoubted honour, that he avowed his Republican principles, yet retained the confidence and affection of his maller. "I know not," fays Toland, "which most to commend-the "King for trusting an honest man, though of Republican " principles, or Harrington for ewiling his principles while "he served a King." How did he serve him? he staid in the Isle of Wight, watch the fortune of his Reyal Mafter; he came up by fleaith, concealed in the boot of a coach, to take his last firewell of him; he fall into his arms, and funted at his feet on the scaffold. After Charles's death, he wrote the famous Oceana, and in the work pays a tribute of troth to the virtues of his unfortunate Sovereign, and aferides his misfortunes to the feeble nature of a Monarchy. Mr. Erskine flated the interesting anecdote, by which he recovered his Oceana from the gripe of Cromwell. He applied to his favourite daughter, and focung her infant at her fide, he foatched it up in his arms, and ran away; alarmed for its fate, the mother followed him-"I have ferzed your child," faid Harrington, " your " father has scized mine-I restore to you your child-sup-" plicate your father to restore my child." The daughter supplicated, and Cromwell yielded. "If my Government." faid Cromwell, " be made to stand, It will not be battered " down by paper shot." After various other quotations from Montesquieu, Milton, and other classics, he made a warm and affecting conclusion. "Do not think that En-" glishmen are to be bent to your purpose by this froward " course."

"Be to their fealts a little bland—
"Be to their victues very kind—
"Let all their thoughts be unconfined,

"And clap your pidlock on the mind."

Engage them by their affections, convince their reasons and they will be loyal from the only principle that can make loyalty fincere, vigorous, or rational, a conviction that it is their truest interest, and that their form of Government is for their common good. Constraint is the natural parent of resistance, and this is no new dostrine. In all times where one side has been tyrannical, the other has been refractory. You must all remember, Gentlemen, Lucian's pleasant story—Jupiter and a countryman were walking together, conversing with great section and tamiharity upon the subjects of heaven and carm. The countryman life

tened with attention and acquickence, while Jupiter Brove only to convince him, but happening to hint a doubt, Jupiter turned hastily round, and threatened him with his thunder .- " Ah! ah!" faid the countryman, " now, Jupi-"ter, I know that your are wrong; you're always wrong, when you appeal to your thunder."

The Attorney General role to reply; but Mr. Campbell, the foreman of the Jury, faid he was instructed by his brother jurors to say that he might save himself the trouble of any observations, unless he thought otherwise himself, for that they were satisfied. The Attorney General sat down, and the Jury immediately gave a verdict of Guilty.